The Influence of Route of Delivery and Formulation on the Pulmonary Pharmacokinetics of Imatinib in Nonclinical Species

Ralph Niven,^{1,*} Anne Cooper,² Hunter Gillies,¹ Ron K. Wolff,³ Benjamin Dake¹ ¹Aerovate Therapeutics, Waltham, MA, USA; ²AEC Scientific Consulting, Chichester, UK; ³RK Wolff Safety Consulting, Inc., Sanibel, FL, USA.

INTRODUCTION

- Imatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has demonstrated clinical improvements in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in human trials when administered orally in addition to standard of care¹⁻³
- However, significant adverse events occurred and the development of oral imatinib for the treatment of PAH was discontinued¹
- Inhaled drug delivery is an alternative means of targeting the disease, with the potential to reduce the therapeutic dose and minimize side effects

OBJECTIVE

• To demonstrate lung and plasma pharmacokinetics of imatinib and N-desmethyl imatinib in mice, rats, and cynomolgus monkeys following delivery of solutions, suspensions, and dry powders of imatinib to the lungs and compare with observed data following oral dosing

METHODS

- Imatinib was administered by nasal aspiration to C57BL6/J mice, and Sprague Dawley rats received formulations via intratracheal (IT) instillation in solution, suspension, or dry powder insufflation
- Serial blood samples were periodically drawn from animals over 24 hours while lung tissue homogenates were obtained through terminal sampling per time point in separate evaluations
- For aerosol studies, Sprague Dawley rats inhaled dry powder for 1 hour daily via nasal exposure only, while cynomolgus monkeys were exposed to dry powder aerosols via face mask for 30 minutes daily for 28 days
- Toxicokinetic evaluations were carried out on Days 1 and 28 for both species
- Blood samples were processed, and plasma was analyzed for imatinib and the principal metabolite, N-desmethyl imatinib, using bespoke liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) procedures
- Noncompartmental pharmacokinetic assessments were performed using WinNonlin[®] software (Certara, Inc., Princeton, NJ)

RESULTS

Pharmacokinetics of IT Administration

- intravenous administration
- via direct lung dosing

Table 1. Pharmacokinetic Parameters and Lung to Plasma Ratios in Rats												
	POª 3 mg/kg		IV 1 mg/kg		IT sol 1 mg/kg		IT susp				IT DP ^a	
							1 mg/kg		3 mg/kg		3 mg/kg	
	Lung	Plasma	Lung	Plasma	Lung	Plasma	Lung	Plasma	Lung	Plasma	Lung	Plasma
C _{max} , ng/mL (CV %)	1180 ± 832 (71)	74.8 ± 46.1 (62)	2125 ± 608 (29)	467 ± 18.2 (4)	19,225 ± 3759 (20)	294 ± 27.9 (9)	41,875 ± 7690 (18)	164 ± 31.6 (19)	151,000 ± 14,832 (10)	512 ± 51.3 (10)	97,100 ± 25,885 (27)	168 ± 49.7 (30)
T _{max} , h	4	4	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.81	0.083	4
MRT, h	5.9	4.7	NC	2.8	NC	2.9	NC	2.3	NC	4.4	5.2	6.7
AUC _{last} , ng·h/mL (CV %)	6660	404	7675	1042 ± 31.4 (3)	20,641	822 ± 56.7 (7)	70,375	636 ± 136 (21)	345,066	2645 ± 182 (7)	469,000	1570
Plasma F, %	_	13	_	N/A	_	79	_	61	_	85	_	50
Kp, lung plasma	17		7.4		25		111		129		299	
ALIC area under th	e curve from tir	me () to the last me	asurable conce	ntration: C may	imum concentrat	ion: CV coefficier	nt of variation: E	hioavailability: IT [)P intratracheal drv i	nowder: IT sol int	tratracheal solution	•

Pry powder and oral results were obtained from a separate study from the results shown for the IV IT sol, and IT susp evaluations but are combined here for clarity

• Initial stable or rising plasma concentrations observed with suspension doses in rats are also indicative of dissolution rate-limited absorption in contrast to the rapid decline in plasma concentration immediately after solution-based dosing (**Figure 1**)



T, intratracheal; IT DP, intratracheal dry powder; IT sol, intratracheal solution; IT susp, intratracheal suspension n ≥3 per group

REFERENCES

- 1. Hoeper MM, et al. *Circulation*. 2013;127(10):1128-1138.
- 2. Ghofrani HA, et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;182(9):1171-1177.
- 3. Frost AE, et al. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2015;34(11):1366-1375.

SUPPORT

• Imatinib lung tissue exposures were substantially higher following pulmonary delivery to mice (data not shown) and rats (Table 1) via intranasal or IT administration compared to oral or

• Formulation impacted the extent of lung retention with the following progression: dry powder > suspension > solution > oral \geq intravenous delivery in rats

• Lung area under the curve (AUC) values following IT dry powder delivery in rats were >70-fold higher than oral delivery while plasma AUC values were within 4-fold, suggesting preferential lung retention



^an = 6 for each target dose.

Table 2. N 3 mg/kg I Metabolit AUC_{last},

1etaboli imatinib,

AUC_{last}, area under th

This study was supported by Aerovate Therapeutics, Inc.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Medical writing and editorial support were provided by Austin Horton, PhD, of Lumanity Scientific Inc., and were financially supported by Aerovate Therapeutics, Inc.

Pharmacokinetics of Aerosol Administration

• Repeat dose (28-day) dry powder aerosol inhalation to rats (5-17 mg/kg/day) and cynomolgus monkeys (1.2-12 mg/kg/day) suggested high lung partitioning, as evidenced at the 24-hour time point – Lung/plasma partitioning ratios in rats ranged from 18 to 25 for dry powder aerosol inhalation versus 4.9 for oral administration (20 mg/kg/day) and from 135 to 705 for dry powder aerosol inhalation in monkeys, depending on the achieved dose

• Plasma time course data in the primates also exhibited profiles suggestive of dissolution rate-limited absorption, although a contribution via oral uptake cannot be ruled out (**Figure 2**)

Imatinib Metabolism

• There was no apparent contribution to metabolism arising from the lungs, as assessed by the relative quantities of the N-desmethyl imatinib metabolite to imatinib in lung tissue versus plasma (**Table 2**)

desmethyl Metabolite CGP74588 in Mice and Rats Following PO, IT, and IN Delivery of natinib												
		Μοι		Rat								
	Lung			Plasma			Lung		Plasma			
PO	IT susp	IN	PO	IT susp	IN	PO	IT susp	IT DP	PO	IT susp	IT DP	
2600	13,000	22,000	486	499	660	6660	61,700	459,000	404	1110	1570	
51.8	161	336	45.5	23.3	31	992	3890	1780	16.8	47.7	ND	
2	1.2	1.5	9.3	4.7	4.7	15	6.3	0.4	4.2	4.3	<1	
e curve from time 0 to the last measurable concentration: IN intranasal: IT intratracheal: IT DP intratracheal dry nowder: IT susp intratracheal suspension: ND, not detected: PO, oral administration												

DISCLOSURES

Ralph Niven, Hunter Gillies, and Benjamin Dake are employees of Aerovate Therapeutics, Inc. Anne Cooper, AEC Scientific Consulting. Ron K. Wolff is an employee of RK Wolff Safety Consulting, Inc.



*Presenting author.

Key Messages

- The inhaled route of administration demonstrated higher lung tissue to plasma ratios than oral administration
- Formulation effects can be significant, with inhaled dry powder exhibiting "improved" pharmacokinetics versus a solution formulation

Conclusions

- Observations in nonclinical species demonstrated that pulmonary delivery of imatinib can achieve substantial lung exposure and potentially enhance the response to the widespread pulmonary vasculopathy observed in PAH
- Inhaled delivery of a dry powder imatinib has the potential to achieve therapeutic benefit at a lower dose than with oral administration and concomitantly may exhibit a reduction in adverse events